## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel, W.A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, et al.,

Plaintiff,

V.

Case No. 4:05-CV-329-JOE-SAJ

TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

# DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS

COME NOW Defendants, Tyson Foods, Inc.; Tyson Poultry, Inc.; Tyson Chicken, Inc.; Cobb-Vantress, Inc.; Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.; Cal-Maine Farms, Inc.; Cargill, Inc.; Cargill Turkey Production, LLC.; George's, Inc.; George's Farms, Inc.; Peterson Farms, Inc.; Simmons Foods, Inc.; and Willow Brook Foods, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants"), pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 7 and LCvR7.1(e) and, by and through their attorneys, submit the following as their response to the State of Oklahoma's Request for Oral Argument on Defendants' Motion to Stay Proceedings.

### ARGUMENT

Defendants have moved this Court to enter an order staying all proceedings in this matter pending the outcome of *State of Arkansas v. State of Oklahoma*, an original action filed in the United States Supreme Court (the "Supreme Court Action"). *See* Defendants' Motion to Stay Proceedings and Integrated Opening Brief in Support and Request for Expedited Hearing ("Motion to Stay") (Docket No. 125). Plaintiffs have filed their Response in Opposition Defendants' Motion to Stay and Defendants have filed their Reply brief (Docket Nos. 139 and 167, respectively). Therefore, under the Local Rules for the Northern District, Defendants' Motion to Stay is ripe for ruling by this Court. *See* LCvR 7.1(h).

As support for their Request for Oral Argument on Defendants' Motion to Stay, Plaintiffs suggest that oral argument would be "beneficial given the issue being placed before the Court and the overarching public import of the issues raised by this litigation." *See* Request for Oral Argument at 1 (Docket No. 160). Collectively, the Parties have provided this Court with more than thirty (30) pages of briefing materials in which the parties have set forth arguments and authorities in support of their respective positions on this matter. Defendants respectfully submit that both the "issue being placed before the Court" and the "public import of the issues raised by this litigation" have been fully developed in the Parties' respective briefs on this matter and that oral argument is therefore unnecessary. However, if this Court has identified issues that have not been fully addressed by the Parties, or determined that oral argument would assist the Court with its deliberations, Defendants would welcome the opportunity to appear before this Court and present additional argument in support of their Motion to Stay.

Finally, Plaintiffs have also petitioned this Court for oral argument on seven of Defendants' outstanding motions (Docket No. 159). As discussed in Defendants' response to that motion (filed concurrently herewith), Defendants respectfully submit that this Court should rule on Defendants' Motion to Stay before addressing Plaintiffs' request for oral argument on the remaining motions. The Supreme Court Action addresses many of the legal issues presented by Defendants' motions to dismiss. Therefore, the pendency of the Supreme Court Action militates in favor of this Court entering the stay requested by Defendants because the outcome of the Supreme Court Action may preclude Plaintiffs from pursuing all or a significant portion of their claims in this forum. See Defendants' Motion to Stay. Ruling on Defendants' Motion to Stay before considering whether to hear oral argument on the Parties' other pending motions would serve the goal of judicial economy because, if this Court stays proceedings in this matter in

deference to the Supreme Court's ongoing proceedings, adjudication of the Defendants' remaining motions may become permanently or temporarily unnecessary.

## Respectfully submitted,

BY: /s/ Stephen L. Jantzen STEPHEN L. JANTZEN, OBA # 16247 PATRICK M. RYAN, OBA # 7864 PAULA M. BUCHWALD, OBA # 20464 RYAN, WHALEY & COLDIRON, P.C. 119 N. ROBINSON 900 ROBINSON RENAISSANCE OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102 Telephone: (405) 239-6040

Facsimile:

(405) 239-6766

E-Mail:

sjantzen@ryanwhaley.com

#### -AND-

THOMAS C. GREEN, ESQ. MARK D. HOPSON, ESQ. TIMOTHY K. WEBSTER, ESQ. JAY T. JORGENSEN, ESQ. SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-1401 (202) 736-8000 (phone) (202) 736-8711 (fax)

#### -AND-

ROBERT W. GEORGE, OBA #18562 KUTACK ROCK LLP The Three Sisters Building 214 West Dickson Street Fayetteville, AR 72701-5221 (479) 973-4200 (phone) (479) 973-0007 (fax) ATTORNEYS FOR TYSON FOODS, INC.; TYSON POULTRY, INC.; TYSON CHICKEN, INC; AND COBB-VANTRESS, INC.

(SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH PERMISSION)

A. SCOTT MCDANIEL, OBA #16460
CHRIS A. PAUL, OBA #14416
NICOLE M. LONGWELL, OBA #18771
PHILIP D. HIXON, OBA #19121
MARTIN A. BROWN, OBA #18660
JOYCE, PAUL & MCDANIEL, P.C.
1717 South Boulder Ave., Ste 200
Tulsa, OK 74119

ATTORNEYS FOR PETERSON FARMS, INC.

BY: /s/ John H. Tucker
(SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH
PERMISSION)
THERESA NOBLE HILL, OBA #19119
JOHN H. TUCKER, OBA #9110
COLIN H. TUCKER, OBA #16325
RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES,
TUCKER & GABLE
POB 21100
100 W. 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 400
Tulsa, OK 74121-1100
ATTORNEYS FOR CARGILL, INC., and CARGILL
TURKEY PRODUCTION, LLC

BY: /s/ R. Thomas Lay
(SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH
PERMISSION)
R. THOMAS LAY, OBA #5297
KERR, IRVINE, RHODES & ABLES
201 Robert S. Kerr Ave., Suite 600
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
ATTORNEYS FOR WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC.

BY: /s/ Randall E. Rose
(SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH PERMISSION)
RANDALL E. ROSE, OBA #7753
GEORGE W. OWENS, ESQ.
OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C.
234 W. 13<sup>th</sup> Street
Tulsa, OK 74119
ATTORNEYS FOR GEORGE'S, INC. AND GEORGE'S FARMS, INC.

BY: /s/ Robert P. Redemann
(SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH
PERMISSION)
ROBERT P. REDEMANN, OBA #7454
LAWRENCE W. ZERINGUE, OBA # 9996
DAVID C. SENGER, OBA #18830
PERRINE, MCGIVERN, REDEMANN, REID, BARRY &
TAYLOR, P.L.L.C.
P.O. Box 1710
Tulsa, OK 74101-1710
ATTORNEY FOR CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC. AND
CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC.

BY: /s/ John R. Elrod
(SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH PERMISSION)
JOHN R. ELROD, OBA #
VICKI BRONSON, OBA #20574
CONNER & WINTERS, L.L.P.
100 W. Central St., Suite 200
Fayetteville, AR 72701
ATTORNEY FOR SIMMONS FOODS, INC.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of December, 2005, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson
OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL
State of Oklahoma
2300 N. Lincoln Blvd, Suite 112
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Douglas Allen Wilson
Melvin David Riggs
Richard T. Garren
Sharon K. Weaver
RIGGS ABNEY NEAL TURPEN
ORBISON & LEWIS
502 W 6th St
Tulsa, OK 74119-1010
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

John T. Hammons Attorney at Law 4545 N. Lincoln Blvd., Suite 260 Oklahoma City, OK 73105 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF David Phillip Page
James Randall Miller
Louis Werner Bullock
MILLER KEFFER & BULLOCK
222 S KENOSHA
TULSA, OK 74120-2421
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Robert Allen Nance
Dorothy Sharon Gentry
RIGGS ABNEY NEAL TURPEN
ORBISON & LEWIS
5801 N Broadway
Ste 101
Oklahoma City, OK 73118
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

and I further certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing will be mailed via regular mail through the United States Postal Service, postage properly paid, on the following who are not registered participants of the ECF System:

William H. Narwold MOTLEY RICE LLC 20 Church St., 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Hartford, CT 06103 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF Elizabeth C Ward
Frederick C. Baker
MOTLEY RICE LLC
28 Bridgeside Blvd
Mount Pleasant, SC 29464
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

C. Miles Tolbert
SECRETARY OF THE
ENVIRONMENT
State of Oklahoma
3800 North Classen
Oklahoma City, OK 73118

/s/ Stephen L. Jantzen STEPHEN L. JANTZEN